



Grant Thornton

An instinct for growth™

International Accounting Standards Board
30 Cannon Street
London
EC4M 6XH

16 April 2013

Grant Thornton International Ltd
Grant Thornton House
22 Melton Street
London NW1 2EP

Submitted electronically through the IFRS Foundation website (www.ifrs.org)

ED/2012/7 Acquisition of an Interest in a Joint Operation - Proposed amendment to IFRS 11

Grant Thornton International Ltd is pleased to comment on the International Accounting Standards Board's (the Board) Exposure Draft ED/2012/7 Acquisition of an Interest in a Joint Operation - Proposed amendment to IFRS 11 (the ED). We have considered the ED, as well as the accompanying draft Basis for Conclusions.

We agree that the initial accounting for an interest in a joint operation is not adequately addressed by IFRS 11 at present. We also agree with the broad proposal that IFRS 3's principles should be applied to the extent relevant when an entity purchases an interest in a joint operation whose activities constitutes a business.

However, we are concerned that the ED does not adequately address the complications that stem from the fact that acquiring an interest in a joint operation will usually involve multiple transactions and arrangements. We have also identified various other matters we think should be clarified.

Our responses to the questions in the ED's Invitation to Comment are set out in the Appendix.

If you have any questions on our response, or wish us to amplify our comments, please contact our Executive Director of International Financial Reporting, Andrew Watchman (andrew.watchman@uk.gt.com or telephone + 44 207 391 9510).

Yours sincerely,

Kenneth C Sharp
Global Leader - Assurance Services
Grant Thornton International Ltd

Responses to Invitation to Comment questions

Question 1: relevant principles

The IASB proposes to amend IFRS 11 and IFRS 1 so that a joint operator accounting for the acquisition of an interest in a joint operation in which the activity of the joint operation constitutes a business applies the relevant principles on business combinations accounting in IFRS 3 and other Standards, and discloses the relevant information required by those Standards for business combinations.

Do you agree with the proposed amendment? Why or why not? If not, what alternative do you propose?

We agree that the initial accounting for an interest in a joint operation is not adequately addressed by IFRS 11 at present. We also agree that the application of IFRS 3 (to the extent relevant) is appropriate for situations in which the reporting entity purchases an interest in a joint operation and the joint operation's activity constitutes a business. In those cases we agree that the reporting entity should initially recognise its share of the assets and liabilities held jointly at their fair value. A possible example of such a situation is a purchase of an interest in a producing oil or gas field.

However, additional clarity is needed on some other matters. We note that a joint operation is an "arrangement" and may or may not be structured through a separate vehicle. Often, where a separate vehicle is involved, the classification as a joint operation will be determined by other contractual arrangements and/or other facts and circumstances (such as a commitment to take a share of the output).

Accordingly, an entity does not normally become a joint operator simply by acquiring an interest. It must also enter into a contractual arrangement that confers joint control and, in many cases, to other contractual arrangements and commitments that confer rights to the assets and obligations for liabilities. In other words, acquiring an interest in a joint operation involves multiple transactions and arrangements. The ED does not seem to acknowledge this or address the resulting accounting consequences.

We suggest that the following aspects of accounting for the "acquisition of an interest in a joint operation" should also be clarified:

- it not clear to us whether the proposed IFRS 3-based accounting is intended to apply to all situations in which an entity becomes a joint operator of a joint operation (by entering into a relevant arrangement), or only a particular sub-set in which an interest in a joint operation is "acquired"
- in assessing whether a joint operation's activities constitute a business for the purpose of this proposed amendment, clarity is needed as to whether the assessment of IFRS 3's definition of a business considers only the inputs, outputs and processes in the acquired interest, or all of the activities encompassed by the arrangement
- we note that a joint arrangement can involve assets and liabilities held directly by the joint operator as well as assets and liabilities held or incurred jointly (as acknowledged in paragraph 20 of IFRS 11). Is it not clear whether the proposed IFRS 3-based accounting is intended to apply to all the assets and liabilities encompassed by the arrangement, or only those within the acquired interest.

Finally, we note that the proposal does not address the accounting by a joint operator for subsequent changes in its ownership interest (while retaining joint control).

Question 2: scope

The IASB intends to apply the proposed amendment to IFRS 11 and the proposed consequential amendment to IFRS 1 to the acquisition of an interest in a joint operation on its formation. However, it should not apply if no existing business is contributed to the joint operation on its formation.

Do you agree with the proposed amendment? Why or why not? If not, what alternative do you propose?

We are not convinced that, in principle, IFRS 3-based fair value accounting should apply to assets and liabilities contributed to a joint operation by the reporting entity. In such a situation the reporting entity holds the assets and liabilities before the contribution, and in substance holds a share of the same assets and liabilities after the contribution. The arrangement is classified as a joint operation on the basis that the joint operator retains direct rights to the assets and direct obligations for the liabilities. The substance of such a transaction therefore appears to a part-disposal of assets and liabilities, rather than an acquisition. The reporting entity acquires (a share of) assets and liabilities contributed by the other joint operator(s).

We therefore think that reporting entity should in principle recognise its retained interest in assets and liabilities that it contributed on a predecessor basis, and its share of assets and liabilities contributed by other parties at fair value. The fair value of assets and liabilities contributed by the reporting entity is part of the consideration to the extent that other parties obtain a share of them.

As a drafting matter, we also note that proposed paragraph B33B (which states: “Paragraphs 21A and B33A apply to the acquisition of an interest in a joint operation on its formation, except when there is no existing business”) is not quite consistent with the description in the question. We suggest amending B33B along the lines: “Paragraphs 21A and B33A also apply to transactions in which an existing business is contributed to a joint operation on its formation.”

Question 3: transition requirements

The IASB intends to apply the proposed amendment to IFRS 11 and the proposed consequential amendment to IFRS 1 prospectively to acquisitions of interests in joint operations in which the activity of the joint operation constitutes a business on or after the effective date.

Do you agree with the proposed transition requirements? Why or why not? If not, what alternative do you propose?

We agree.